

Questions for Conversations

101120

What is *aseity*?

Here is the kalam cosmological argument for God's existence.

1. Whatever begins to exist has a cause.
2. The universe began to exist.
3. Therefore, the universe has a cause.

In light of this conclusion, It is further posited that

1. The universe has a cause.
2. If the universe has a cause, then an uncaused, personal Creator of the universe exists who sans (without) the universe is beginningless, changeless, immaterial, timeless, spaceless and enormously powerful.
3. Therefore, an uncaused, personal Creator of the universe exists, who sans the universe is beginningless, changeless, immaterial, timeless, spaceless and infinitely powerful.

What do you think of this? Does it work for you? Are there limitations?

Rob said aseity is true of God alone, so what is the counterpart that is true of humans?

There were two ways in which this counterpart to *aseity* is true of us, according to Rob.

What are they, and what do you think about them?

In what ways does this limit our importance?

In what ways does this grant us significance?

What did you think of Rob's idea that as we grow closer to God we grow in (at least our awareness of our) dependence?

Share joys, concerns, things on your mind.

Pray